

## Lecture Notes 3

---

### 1.8 The general definition of curvature; Fox-Milnor's Theorem

Let  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a curve and  $P = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$ , then (the approximation of) the total curvature of  $\alpha$  with respect to  $P$  is defined as

$$\text{total } \kappa[\alpha, P] := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \text{angle} \left( \alpha(t_i) - \alpha(t_{i-1}), \alpha(t_{i+1}) - \alpha(t_i) \right),$$

and the *total curvature* of  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\text{total } \kappa[\alpha] := \sup \{ \kappa[\alpha, P] \mid P \in \text{Partition}[a, b] \}.$$

Our main aim here is to prove the following observation due to Ralph Fox and John Milnor:

**Theorem 1 (Fox-Milnor).** *If  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a  $C^2$  unit speed curve, then*

$$\text{total } \kappa[\alpha] = \int_a^b \|\alpha''(t)\| dt.$$

This theorem implies, by the mean value theorem for integrals, that for any  $t \in (a, b)$ ,

$$\kappa(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \text{total } \kappa \left[ \alpha \Big|_{t-\epsilon}^{t+\epsilon} \right].$$

The above formula may be taken as the definition of curvature for general (not necessarily  $C^2$ ) curves. To prove the above theorem first we need to develop some basic spherical geometry. Let

$$\mathbf{S}^n := \{p \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} \mid \|p\| = 1\}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Last revised: September 17, 2004

denote the  $n$ -dimensional unit sphere in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . Define a mapping from  $\mathbf{S}^n \times \mathbf{S}^n$  to  $\mathbf{R}$  by

$$\text{dist}_{\mathbf{S}^n}(p, q) := \text{angle}(p, q).$$

**Exercise 2.** Show that  $(\mathbf{S}^n, \text{dist}_{\mathbf{S}^n})$  is a metric space.

The above metric has a simple geometric interpretation described as follows. By a *great circle*  $C \subset \mathbf{S}^n$  we mean the intersection of  $\mathbf{S}^n$  with a two dimensional plane which passes through the origin  $o$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ . For any pair of points  $p, q \in \mathbf{S}^n$ , there exists a plane passing through them and the origin. When  $p \neq \pm q$  this plane is given by the linear combinations of  $p$  and  $q$  and thus is unique; otherwise,  $p, q$  and  $o$  lie on a line and there exists infinitely many two dimensional planes passing through them. Thus through every pairs of points of  $\mathbf{S}^n$  there passes a great circle, which is unique whenever  $p \neq \pm q$ .

**Exercise 3.** For any pairs of points  $p, q \in \mathbf{S}^n$ , let  $C$  be a great circle passing through them. If  $p \neq q$ , let  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_2$  denote the length of the two segments in  $C$  determined by  $p$  and  $q$ , then  $\text{dist}_{\mathbf{S}^n}(p, q) = \min\{\ell_1, \ell_2\}$ . (*Hint:* Let  $p^\perp \in C$  be a vector orthogonal to  $p$ , then  $C$  may be parametrized as the set of points traced by the curve  $p \cos(t) + p^\perp \sin(t)$ .)

Let  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n$  be a spherical curve, i.e., a Euclidean curve  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  with  $\|\alpha\| = 1$ . For any partition  $P = \{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$  of  $[a, b]$ , the spherical length of  $\alpha$  with respect the partition  $P$  is defined as

$$\text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P] = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{dist}_{\mathbf{S}^n}(\alpha(t_i), \alpha(t_{i-1})).$$

The norm of any partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$  is defined as

$$|P| := \max\{t_i - t_{i-1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

If  $P^1$  and  $P^2$  are partions of  $[a, b]$ , we say that  $P^2$  is a *refinement* of  $P^1$  provided that  $P^1 \subset P^2$ .

**Exercise 4.** Show that if  $P^2$  is a refinement of  $P^1$ , then

$$\text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P^2] \geq \text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P^1].$$

(*Hint:* Use the fact that  $\text{dist}_{\mathbf{S}^n}$  satisfies the triangle inequality, see Exc. 2).

The spherical length of  $\alpha$  is defined by

$$\text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha] = \sup \{ \text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P] \mid P \in \text{Partition}[a, b] \}.$$

**Lemma 5.** *If  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n$  is a unit speed spherical curve, then*

$$\text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha] = \text{length}[\alpha].$$

*Proof.* Let  $P^k := \{t_0^k, \dots, t_n^k\}$  be a sequence of partitions of  $[a, b]$  with

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |P^k| = 0,$$

and

$$\theta_i^k := \text{dist}_{\mathbf{S}^n}(\alpha^k(t_i), \alpha^k(t_{i-1})) = \text{angle}(\alpha^k(t_i), \alpha^k(t_{i-1}))$$

be the corresponding spherical distances. Then, since  $\alpha$  has unit speed,

$$2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta_i^k}{2}\right) = \|\alpha(t_i^k) - \alpha(t_{i-1}^k)\| \leq t_i^k - t_{i-1}^k \leq |P^k|.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta_i^k}{2}\right) = 0.$$

Now, since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \sin(x)/x = 1$ , it follows that, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N > 0$ , depending only on  $|P^k|$ , such that if  $k > N$ , then

$$(1 - \epsilon)\theta_i^k \leq 2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta_i^k}{2}\right) \leq (1 + \epsilon)\theta_i^k,$$

which yields that

$$(1 - \epsilon) \text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P^k] \leq \text{length}[\alpha, P^k] \leq (1 + \epsilon) \text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P^k].$$

The above inequalities are satisfied by any  $\epsilon > 0$  provided that  $k$  is large enough. Thus

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P^k] = \text{length}[\alpha].$$

Further, note that if  $P$  is any partitions of  $[a, b]$  we may construct a sequence of partitions by successive refinements of  $P$  so that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |P^k| = 0$ . By Exercise 4,  $\text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P^k] \leq \text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P^{k+1}]$ . Thus the above expression shows that, for any partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ ,

$$\text{length}_{\mathbf{S}^n}[\alpha, P] \leq \text{length}[\alpha].$$

The last two expressions now yield that

$$\sup\{\text{length}_{\mathbb{S}^n}[\alpha, P] \mid P \in \text{Partition}[a, b]\} = \text{length}[\alpha],$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Exercise 6.** Show that if  $P^2$  is a refinement of  $P^1$ , then

$$\text{total}\kappa[\alpha, P^2] \geq \text{total}\kappa[\alpha, P^1].$$

Now we are ready to prove the theorem of Fox-Milnor:

*Proof of Theorem 1.* As in the proof of the previous lemma, let  $P^k = \{t_0^k, \dots, t_n^k\}$  be a sequence of partitions of  $[a, b]$  with  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |P^k| = 0$ . Set

$$\theta_i^k := \text{angle}\left(\alpha(t_i^k) - \alpha(t_{i-1}^k), \alpha(t_{i+1}^k) - \alpha(t_i^k)\right),$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ . Further, set

$$\bar{t}_i^k := \frac{t_i^k + t_{i-1}^k}{2}$$

and

$$\phi_i^k := \text{angle}\left(\alpha'(\bar{t}_i^k), \alpha'(\bar{t}_{i+1}^k)\right).$$

Recall that, by the previous lemma,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_i \phi_i^k = \text{length}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}[\alpha'] = \text{length}[\alpha'] = \int_a^b \|\alpha''(t)\| dt.$$

Thus to complete the proof it suffices to show that, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N$  such that for all  $k \geq N$ ,

$$|\theta_i^k - \phi_i^k| \leq \epsilon(t_{i+1}^k - t_{i-1}^k); \tag{1}$$

for then it would follow that

$$2\epsilon[a, b] \leq \sum_i \theta_i^k - \sum_i \phi_i^k \leq 2\epsilon[a, b],$$

which would in turn yield

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{total } \kappa[\alpha, P^k] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_i \theta_i^k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_i \phi_i^k = \int_a^b \|\alpha''(t)\| dt.$$

Now, similar to the proof of Lemma 5, note that given any partition  $P$  of  $[a, b]$ , we may construct by subsequent refinements a sequence of partitions  $P^k$ , with  $P^0 = P$ , such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |P^k| = 0$ . Thus the last expression, together with Exercise 6, yields that

$$\text{total}\kappa[\alpha, P] \leq \int_a^b \|\alpha''(t)\| dt.$$

The last two expressions complete the proof; so it remains to establish (1). To this end let

$$\beta_i^k := \text{angle} \left( \alpha'(\bar{t}_i^k), \alpha(t_i^k) - \alpha(t_{i-1}^k) \right).$$

By the triangle inequality for angles (Exercise 2).

$$\phi_i^k \leq \beta_i^k + \theta_i^k + \beta_{i+1}^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_i^k \leq \beta_i^k + \phi_i^k + \beta_{i+1}^k,$$

which yields

$$|\phi_i^k - \theta_i^k| \leq \beta_i^k + \beta_{i+1}^k.$$

So to prove (1) it is enough to show that for every  $\epsilon > 0$

$$\beta_i^k \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}(t_i - t_{i-1})$$

provided that  $k$  is large enough. See Exercise 7. □

**Exercise\* 7.** Let  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a  $C^2$  curve. For every  $t, s \in [a, b]$ ,  $t \neq s$ , define

$$f(t, s) := \text{angle} \left( \alpha' \left( \frac{t+s}{2} \right), \alpha(t) - \alpha(s) \right).$$

Show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow s} \frac{f(t, s)}{t - s} = 0.$$

In particular, if we set  $f(t, t) = 0$ , then the resulting function  $f: [a, b] \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous. So, since  $[a, b]$  is compact,  $f$  is uniformly continuous, i.e., for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta$  such that  $\|f(t) - f(s)\| \leq \epsilon$ , whenever  $|t - s| \leq \delta$ . Does this result hold for  $C^1$  curves as well?